

Asset Strategies Monthly

June 2010

What You Should Know about Inherited IRAs

The rules governing inherited IRAs can be complicated. Here are the major issues.

Transferring inherited IRA assets

If you inherit an IRA from someone who isn't your spouse, your options are fairly limited. You can't roll the proceeds over to your own IRA, treat the IRA as your own, or make any additional contributions to the IRA. What you can do is transfer the assets to a different IRA provider, as long as the registration of the account continues to reflect that the IRA is an inherited IRA and not your own.

If you inherit an IRA from your spouse, you have many more options. You can roll all or part of the IRA proceeds over to your own IRA. You become the owner of the IRA assets, and the rules that apply to IRA owners, not beneficiaries, apply from that point on. If you're the sole beneficiary of the IRA, you can also generally treat the inherited IRA as your own by retitling the IRA in your name. But you aren't required to assume ownership of an inherited IRA. You can instead continue to maintain the inherited IRA as a beneficiary. You might want to do this if you inherit a traditional IRA and you'll need to use the funds before you turn 59½ (distributions from inherited IRAs aren't subject to the 10% penalty that typically applies to early distributions from IRAs you own).

Required minimum distributions (RMDs)

Nonspouse beneficiary: Federal law requires that you begin taking distributions (called required minimum distributions, or RMDs) from the inherited IRA after the IRA owner dies. If the IRA owner died after turning 70½ and didn't take a required distribution for the year of death, you'll need to make sure to take that distribution by December 31 of the year of death in order to avoid a 50% penalty.

Spouse beneficiary: If you roll the inherited IRA over to your own IRA, or treat it as your own, then the RMD rules apply to you the same way



they apply to any IRA owner--you'll generally need to begin taking RMDs from a traditional IRA after you turn 70½; no lifetime RMDs are required at all from a Roth IRA. If you don't roll the IRA assets over or treat the IRA as your own, then the same rules described above for nonspouse beneficiaries generally apply to you, except that you can defer receiving distributions until your spouse would have turned 70½.

Special rules--inherited Roth IRAs

Qualified distributions to a beneficiary from an inherited Roth IRA are free from federal income taxes. To be qualified, the distribution must be made after a five-year holding period. The five-year period begins on January 1 of the year the deceased IRA owner first established any Roth IRA, and ends after five full calendar years. If you take a distribution from an inherited Roth IRA before this five-year period ends, any earnings you receive will be subject to federal income taxes (earnings generally come out last). If you're a spouse beneficiary, and you roll the inherited Roth IRA over to your own Roth IRA or treat the inherited IRA as your own, then you'll be eligible to take tax-free distributions only after *you* reach age 59½, become disabled, or have qualifying first-time homebuyer expenses. You'll also need to satisfy the five-year holding period, but a special rule applies--the five-year period for *all of your Roth IRAs* will be deemed to have started on January 1 of the year you or your spouse first established any Roth IRA, whichever is earlier.

And speak to a financial professional if...

- You're sharing the inherited IRA with other beneficiaries. This can impact when and how you must begin receiving RMDs from the IRA.
- You don't want or need the IRA funds. You may be able to disclaim the IRA and have it pass to another beneficiary. This must be done in accordance with strict IRA rules.
- Any estate taxes were paid that are attributable to the inherited IRA. You may be entitled to an income tax deduction equal to the estate taxes paid.

Sheldon J. Harber
314-432-0288
1-877-202-0288
sheldon@assetstrategiesinc.com

Paul Wedeen
630-556-4672
paul@assetstrategiesinc.com

www.assetstrategiesinc.com

In this issue:

What You Should Know about Inherited IRAs

Stock Dividends: An Income Alternative

Rolling GRATs Are Rockin'

How have stocks performed after a recession?

Stock Dividends: An Income Alternative

"Many dividend-paying stocks represent large established companies that may have significant resources to weather economic hard times."



Since 2003, when the top federal income tax rate on qualified dividends was reduced, dividends have acquired

renewed respect. When (or if) that tax rate increases (under current law, dividends will once again be taxed as ordinary income in 2011), the long-term demand for high-quality, reliable dividends will likely increase as baby boomers approach retirement and focus on income-producing investments.

Why consider dividends?

Because they can add to a stock's total return, dividends can be especially important if the market produces relatively low or mediocre returns. According to Standard and Poor's, dividend income has represented roughly one-third of the total return on the S&P 500 since 1926, though that percentage has declined over the last decade. Dividends also may mitigate the impact of a volatile market by at least partly offsetting price fluctuations to even out a portfolio's overall return.

Many experts also look at dividends as a relatively reliable indicator of a company's financial health. Investors have become more conscious in recent years of the value of dependable data as a basis for investment decisions, and dividend payments aren't easily restated. Because dividends are one sign of a company's financial health, boards of directors generally are reluctant to send investors a negative message by cutting dividends.

Also, many dividend-paying stocks represent large established companies that may have significant resources to weather economic hard times, which could be helpful if you're relying on those dividends to help pay living expenses.

Finally, dividends become even more valuable if they're reinvested rather than used to supply income. A dividend that's used to purchase more of the company's stock means you'll receive a proportionally greater share of the company's earnings the next time dividends are paid, which in turn means a larger dividend payment to be reinvested (assuming the company continues to do well and the dividend rate remains the same).

Look before you leap

Investing in dividend-paying stocks isn't as simple as just picking the highest yield. If you're investing for income, consider whether the company's cash flow can sustain its dividend. Dividends on common stock are paid at the discretion of the company's board of directors, and there's no guarantee they won't be cut.

Also, some companies choose to use corporate profits to buy back company shares. That may increase the value of existing shares, but it sometimes takes the place of instituting or raising dividends.


If you're interested in a dividend-focused investing style, look for terms such as "equity income," "dividend income," or "growth and income." Also, some exchange-traded funds (ETFs) track an index that's comprised of dividend-paying stocks, or that's based on dividend yield. Be sure to check the prospectus for information about expenses, fees, and potential risks, and consider them carefully before you invest.

All dividends are not alike

Some dividends, such as those paid by real estate investment trusts (REITs) and master limited partnerships, don't qualify for the same maximum federal income tax rate as qualified dividends, and a portion may be taxed as ordinary income. Also, the 15% maximum rate is scheduled to expire at the end of 2010, and there is no guarantee dividends will continue to receive favorable tax treatment.

The 15% rate applies to qualified dividends (those paid by a U.S. or qualified foreign corporation). Also, you must have held the stock for more than 60 days during a 121-day period (60 days before and 61 days after the stock's ex-dividend date). Form 1099-DIV, which reports your annual dividend and interest income for tax accounting purposes, will indicate whether a dividend is qualified or not.

Be aware that some so-called dividends actually are considered interest for tax purposes. These include dividends from deposits or share accounts at cooperative banks, credit unions, federal savings and loan associations, and mutual savings banks.



Rolling GRATs Are Rockin'

A grantor retained annuity trust (GRAT) is an irrevocable trust into which you make a one-time transfer of property and from which you receive a fixed amount annually for a specified number of years (the annuity period). At the end of the annuity period, the payments to you stop, and any property remaining in the trust passes to the persons you've named in the trust document as the remainder beneficiaries (e.g., your children) or the property can remain in trust for their benefit.

A GRAT is generally used to transfer rapidly appreciating (or high income-producing) property to heirs with the main goal of transferring, free from federal gift tax, a portion of any appreciation in (or income earned by) the trust property during the annuity period.

Because a GRAT is an irrevocable trust, when you transfer property to the GRAT, you're making a taxable gift to the remainder beneficiaries. The value of the gift is discounted because of your retained interest. The amount of the discount is calculated using IRS valuation tables that assume the property in the trust will realize a certain rate of return during the annuity period. This assumed rate of return is known as the Section 7520 rate, discount rate, or hurdle rate. If the property in the trust grows more than the IRS assumed rate of return, any excess growth will pass to the remainder beneficiaries gift tax free.

The catch to this strategy is that you must outlive the annuity period. If you die before the annuity period expires, the value of the property in the trust on the date of your death will be included in your estate for estate tax purposes. This, however, merely puts you in the same position you would have been in had you not used the GRAT (except for the costs to create and maintain the trust).

GRATs are typically created with a long term (5, 10, or 20 years), especially when the Section 7520 rate is low. The longer the term, the more growth that can potentially be removed from an estate. However, the longer the term, the greater the risk that you'll die during the trust term and that all of the GRAT assets will end up back in your estate.

What is a rolling GRAT?

A spinoff of the GRAT is a strategy known as a "rolling GRAT." A rolling GRAT is actually a series of GRATs with short terms (i.e., 2 to 5 years). For example, say you establish an initial GRAT (GRAT 1) for a term of 2 years. At the end of Year 1, you receive your first annuity payment, and with that payment you fund a

second GRAT. When GRAT 1 terminates at the end of Year 2, you take your second annuity payment and fund a third GRAT. Any assets remaining in GRAT 1 are excess returns that are distributed to the beneficiaries. Depending on how the GRAT is initially funded, excess returns on GRAT assets may consist of interest, dividends, and any market increase (appreciation) in the value of the assets. The creation of subsequent GRATs can go on for as long as you want.

The benefits of rolling GRATs

The main purpose of the rolling GRAT is to maximize return and minimize risk.

One benefit is that if one GRAT loses money or the growth of trust assets fails to surpass the Section 7520 rate, you can start over with another GRAT. Rolling GRATs are typically funded with specific stocks or asset classes. This segregation of assets can be an investment hedge, for example, to help prevent losses, if any, on one stock from offsetting gains on other stocks.

Additionally, you can reduce the risk of having all of the GRAT assets included in your estate because of an early death. If, for example, you die 5 years into an arrangement as described above, any excess returns from 3 of the GRATs would have been removed from your estate.

Further, assets from the rolling GRAT strategy are distributed to the beneficiaries earlier than with a long-term GRAT. With a 10-year GRAT, for example, assets are distributed only at the end of the 10-year period. With a series of 2-year rolling GRATs, some assets start to become available to the beneficiaries after the second year.

Finally, you can stop creating the GRATs whenever you want to. For instance, you may feel that you have gifted enough already or that you need to focus instead on rebuilding your wealth due to poor market performance.

The drawbacks

The use of rolling GRATs assumes that you do not need the annuity payments for other purposes.

Additionally, there is a risk that the Section 7520 rate may increase and keep increasing after the first year. Whereas a long-term GRAT can lock in a low initial Section 7520 rate for the entire GRAT term, rolling GRATs may be subject to fluctuating Section 7520 rates.

You'll need an attorney to draft the GRAT documents.



"A rolling GRAT is actually a series of GRATs with short terms (i.e., 2 to 5 years)."



Ask the Experts



How have stocks performed after a recession?

Mark Twain said it best: "History doesn't repeat itself; at best it sometimes rhymes." Past performance is no guarantee of future results, and history can be

an uncertain guide in terms of what might happen with stocks this time around as the economy begins to stagger out of a recession.


That said, it's fascinating to look at how various subsegments of the stock market have behaved relative to one another. Particularly interesting is the comparison between the performance of small-cap stocks and that of large caps after each of the last six recessions. In each case, small caps led the way out of those downturns. During the 12 months after the recession came to an end, as declared by the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), small caps beat large caps every time.

The average difference over the six recovery periods was 14.5%. In some cases, the difference was dramatic; in others, small caps were barely ahead. Here are the percentages by which small caps beat the S&P 500*:

- December 1970-November 1971: 1.3%
- April 1975-March 1976: 23.2%
- August 1980-July 1981: 28.4%
- December 1982-November 1983: 14.4%
- April 1991-March 1992: 14.8%
- December 2002-November 2003: 5.2%

Will history rhyme this time? It's hard to say. Many economists feel the current recession ended sometime in summer 2009. Small-cap stocks have certainly done well since then, but some experts feel large caps are best equipped to navigate a credit crisis. However, until the NBER retroactively declares an official end to this recession, there's no way to know for sure. And don't forget that small caps historically have involved greater risk from market fluctuation, so a double-dip downturn could hit them hardest.

*Percentages calculated based on data from Ibbotson SBBI *Market Results for Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation* for small company stocks and the S&P 500 Composite Index.



How long does it take a bear market to end?

A bear market, typically defined as an overall stock market decline of at least 20% over an extended period, historically has lasted an average of a little

over a year.* On average, bull markets tend to last almost twice as long as bear markets, but sometimes the differences can be even more dramatic. For example, the bear market that began in January of 2002 lasted almost nine months; it was followed by a five-year bull market from October 2002 to October 2007.

The shortest bear market on record lasted only about six weeks, from mid-July 1998 to the end of August. The longest? October 1939 through April 1942 (almost 30 months), beating out April 1930 to June 1932 (just over two years).

However, defining bear markets and subsequent recoveries from them isn't as straightforward as it might seem. For one thing, a long-term bear market can be interrupted by one or more shorter-term bull markets (or vice versa). For example, was the period between March 2000 and October 2002 a single

30-month bear market with a roughly 3-month "bear market rally" from September 2001 to the beginning of 2002, as some market technicians argue? Or was it two independent bear markets--one from March 2000 to September 2001 and a second from January 2002 to October 2002--that were separated by the shortest bull market since the Depression summer of 1932?

By definition, you only know you're in either a bear or bull market in retrospect, once the market has moved consistently in one direction or another. And the past isn't necessarily a good predictor of what will happen in the future. Since investing is about the future rather than the past, it may make sense to focus more on factors such as asset allocation than on the timing of a recovery you can't control.

*All time frames based on data from the *Stock Trader's Almanac 2010* on the Standard & Poor's 500, a market-cap weighted index composed of the common stocks of 500 leading companies in leading industries of the U.S. economy.

Securities offered through a non-affiliated company, Cambridge Investment Research, Inc., a registered Broker/Dealer, Member FINRA/SIPC. Investment Advisory Services offered through Cambridge Investment Research Advisors, Inc., a registered Investment Advisor.

Prepared by Forefield Inc,
Copyright 2010